arXiv:1504.07016v1 [math.LO] 27 Apr 2015

Notes on MV-modules over integral domains

Serafina Lapenta
DiMIE, University of Basilicata,
Viale dell'Ateneo Lucano, 10,
85100 Potenza,Italy
serafina.lapenta@unibas.it

Abstract

An MV-module is an MV-algebra endowed with a scalar multiplication with scalars in a PMV-algebra (i.e. an MV-algebra endowed with a binary "ring-like" product). We investigate the class of semisimple MV-modules over a semisimple and totally ordered integral domain, and prove an adjunction with a special class of linear spaces.

Keywords: MV-algebra, MV-module, integral domain, linear space, tensor product.

1 Introduction

MV-algebra were defined in 1958 as the algebraic counterpart Łukasiewicz infinite-valued logic. They are structures $(A, \oplus, ^*, 0)$ such that $(A, \oplus, 0)$ is an abelian monoid, $x^{**} = x$, and the equations $(x^* \oplus y)^* \oplus y = (y^* \oplus x)^* \oplus x$ and $x \oplus 0^* = 0^*$ are satisfied for any $x, y \in A$. The literature on the subject is very wide and we suggest [4, 5, 15] for further details.

The standard model for an MV-algebra is the unit interval $[0, 1]$, with $x \oplus y = \min(x + y, 1)$ and $x^* = 1 - x$ and it generates the variety of MV-algebra. One of the most important achievement in the field is the categorical equivalence between MV-algebras and lattice-ordered groups with strong unit (ℓu-groups). We suggest [1, 16] for further details on ℓu-groups and related structures.

In more details, given an ℓu-group (G, u), the interval $[0, u]_G = \{x \in G \mid 0 \leq x \leq u\}$ is an MV-algebra if we define $x \oplus y = (x + y) \wedge u$ and $x^* = u - x$. This gives us a functor, denoted by Γ, from **auG** (the category whose objects are Abelian lattice-ordered groups with strong unit and whose morphisms are maps that are at the same time groups homomorphisms and lattices homomorphisms) to **MV** (the category whose objects are MV-algebras and whose morphisms are homomorphism of MV-algebras).

Product MV-algebras (PMV-algebra for short) are obtained when we endow an MV-algebras with a binary and internal "ring-like" product [12, 6]. When the product is a scalar one, with scalars chosen in a PMV-algebras, we obtain the notion of MV-module. A Riesz MV-algebra is an MV-module over $[0, 1]$. We remark that $[0, 1]$ can be seen as a PMV-algebra or a MV-module over itself, when the product (either scalar or internal) coincide with the usual product between real numbers.

The functor Γ naturally extends to PMV-algebras and MV-modules. We obtain a categorical equivalence between PMV-algebras and a proper subclass of lattice-ordered rings with strong unit (ℓu-rings) [6]; we will denote this functor by $\Gamma_{(\cdot)}$. In the same way, in [7] it is proved the categorical equivalence between MV-modules over a fixed PMV-algebra P and lattice-ordered modules over the ℓu-rings that corresponds to P via $\Gamma_{(\cdot)}$; we will denote this functor by Γ_R, where $\Gamma_{(\cdot)}(R, u) = P$.

In this short paper we study MV-modules over a special class of PMV-algebras, that is PMV-algebras without zero-divisors. The main result is an adjunction between such MV-modules and linear spaces over a totally ordered and Archimedean field. In order to apply some fundamental results from literature, we need to restrict our work to totally ordered and semisimple PMV-algebras.

2 Preliminaries

The main tool in our development is the tensor product of MV-algebras, defined by Mundici in [14] and further investigated in [8, 10, 11].

Given two MV-algebras A and B, the tensor product is the MV-algebra $A \otimes_{mv} B$ uniquely defined by the universal bimorphism $\beta\colon A \times B \to A \otimes_{mv} B$ such that $\beta(a, b) = a \otimes_{mv} b$. We recall that a bimorphism is a bilinear map that commutes with $\vee$ and $\wedge$ on both argument.

An important subclass of MV-algebras is the one of semisimple algebras. An MV-algebra A is semisimple if the intersection of all maximal ideal (called Radical of A, and denoted by $\mathrm{Rad}(A)$) is zero. We have that, via Γ, semisimple MV-algebras correspond to Archimedean ℓu-groups, where an ℓ-group G is said to be *Archimedean* if $nx \leq y$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \geq 0$, implies $x = 0$.

Since the class of semisimple MV-algebras is not closed under tensor product, in [14] the semisimple tensor product $\otimes_{ss}$ is defined as the quotient

$$A \otimes_{ss} B = {A \otimes_{mv} B} \Big/ {Rad(A \otimes_{mv} B)},$$

for any A and B semisimple MV-algebras. It satisfies the following universal property, with respect to semisimple MV-algebras:

for any semisimple MV-algebra C and for any bimorphism $\beta\colon A \times B \to C$, there is a unique homomorphism of MV-algebras $\omega\colon A \otimes_{ss} B \to [0, \beta(1,1)] \leq_i C$ such that $\omega \circ \beta_{A,B} = \beta$,
where $\beta_{A,B}\colon A \times B \to A \otimes_{ss} B$ is defined by $\beta_{A;B}(a, b) = a \otimes_{ss} b$.
The notation $[0, a] \leq_i A$ means that $[0, a]$ is an interval MV-algebra of A. See [14, 8] for further details.

In [10] the following is proved.

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be a unital and semisimple PMV-algebra, and B be a semisimple MV-algebra. Then $A \otimes_{ss} B$ is an A-MV-module.*

Moreover, denoted by $\mathcal{U}_A(M)$ the MV-reduct of M, an MV-module with scalars in A, the following universal property holds.

Theorem 2.2. *[10] Let A be a unital, semisimple and totally ordered PMV-algebra, let B be a semisimple MV-algebra. Then for any unital and semisimple A-MV-module M and for any homomorphism of MV-algebras $f\colon B \to \mathcal{U}_A(M)$ there is a unique homomorphism of A-MV-modules $\widetilde{f}\colon A \otimes_{ss} B \to M$ such that $\widetilde{f} \circ \iota_{B,A} = f$, where $\iota_{B,A}\colon B \to A \otimes_{ss} B$ is the embedding in the tensor product.*

In [3] the lattice-ordered counterpart of $\otimes_{ss}$ is introduced: the authors define the tensor product of Archimedean lattice-ordered groups with strong unit. Given (G, u_G) and (H, u_H) ℓu-groups, $(G \otimes_a H, u_G \otimes_a u_H)$ is an ℓu-group uniquely defined, up to isomorphism, by a universal property with respect to Archimedean structures.

In [10] the following is proved.

Theorem 2.3. *If (G_A, u_A), (G_B, u_B) are Archimedean ℓu-groups and A, B are semisimple MV-algebras such that $A \simeq \Gamma(G_A, u_A)$ and $B \simeq \Gamma(G_B, u_B)$ then $A \otimes_{ss} B \simeq \Gamma(G_A \otimes_a G_B, u_A \otimes_a u_B)$.*

Remark 2.1. In [6] PMV-algebras are defined in the most general case, while in [12] any PMV-algebra is unital and commutative. In the sequel we will use the definition from [12].

3 MV-domains

We start this section with the definition of an MV-domain.

Definition 3.1. A PMV-algebra P is called MV-domain if $x \cdot y = 0$ implies $x = 0$ or $y = 0$.

Remark 3.2. In [13], Montagna defines the quasi variety of PMV^+-algebras, as PMV-algebras that satisfies the quasi-identity

$x^2 = 0$ implies $x = 0$.

PMV^+-algebras are therefore algebras without nilpotent elements, and by definition any MV-domain is a PMV^+-algebras. The converse is not true in general. We recall that for PMV^+-algebras several important results holds, like the subdirect representation theorem.

Proposition 3.1. *Let P be a totally ordered PMV-algebra. P is an MV-domain if and only if the corresponding ℓu-ring is a integral domain.*

Proof. One direction is obious. For the other direction, let P be a MV-domain such that $P = \Gamma_{(\cdot)}(R, u)$, with (R, u) ℓu-ring.

Let x, y be elements of R^+ such that $x \cdot y = 0$. There exist $x_1, \dots x_n, y_1, \dots y_m$ in P such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, $y = \sum_{i=1}^m y_j$. Therefore

$$x \cdot y = \sum_{i,j} x_i \cdot y_j = 0.$$

Hence for any $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ $x_i \cdot y_j = 0$. By hypothesis we have:

(i) there exists one i such that $a_i \neq 0$, then we have all $b_j = 0$, and then $b = 0$;

(ii) for any i we have $a_i = 0$, then $a = 0$.

The result follows from the total order on R. □

In the follow, we will denote by **MVArDomP** the category whose objects are semisimple MV-modules over a semisimple and totally ordered MV-domain P, and whose morphisms are homomorphisms of MV-modules.

Remark 3.3. (i) A P-ideal I for a P-MV-module A is an ideal that satisfies the condition $\alpha x \in I$ for any $\alpha \in P$ and any $x \in A$. This condition is always satisfied when P is a unital PMV-algebra.

(ii) By [7, Proposition 3.16] any object in **MVArDomP** is a subdirect product of totally ordered P-MV-modules.

(ii) By [1, Chapter XIV Section 6 Lemma 2], in a totally ordered and Archimedean ℓ-group any positive element is a strong unit. In particular the product-unit is a strong unit.

4 The categorical adjunction

Let **LinSpArK** be the category whose objects are Archimedean and lattice-ordered linear spaces with strong unit over K, Archimedean and totally ordered field with strong unit, and whose morphisms are homogeneous homomorphisms of ℓ-groups.

Proposition 4.1. *Let* (V, u) *be an object in* **LinSpArK**, *and* $h\colon V_1 \to V_2$ *a morphism between objects* (V_1, u_1) *and* (V_2, u_2) *of* **LinSpArK**. *Denoted by* P *the PMV-algebra* $\Gamma_{(\cdot)}(K, e)$, *where* e *is the unit in* K, $\Gamma_{(K,e)}(V, u)$ *is an element of* **MVArDomP**, *the category of Archimedean MV-modules over* P. *Moreover,* $h|_{\Gamma_{(K,e)}(V_1,u_1)}$ *is an homomorphism of MV-modules* $\Gamma_{(K,e)}(V_1, u_1)$ *and* $\Gamma_{(K,e)}(V_2, u_2)$.

Proof. It follows directly from Remark 3.3 and [7, Proposition 4.1]. ☐

Lemma 4.2. *If* R *is an Archimedean and totally ordered integral domain, its quotient field* F *is Archimedean and totally ordered.*

Proof. F is totally ordered by [2, Theorem 10.4]. Let $a, b \in F^+$ such that $na \leq b$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By definition, this comes to $n\frac{x_1}{y_1} \leq \frac{x_2}{y_2}$, with $x_1, x_1 \in R^+$ and $y_1, y_2 \in R^+ \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a = \frac{x_1}{y_1}$, $b = \frac{x_2}{y_2}$. The latter is equivalent to $\frac{x_2y_1 - nx_1y_2}{y_2y_1} \in F^+$., therefore $x_2y_1 - nx_1y_2 \in R^+$ and $nx_1y_2 \leq x_2y_1$. Since R is an Archimedean integral domain, we get $x_1y_2 = 0$ and $a = 0$. Trivially, the unit in R is unit in F. ☐

Theorem 4.3. *Let* M *be an object in the category* **MVArDomP**. *There exists an Archimedean and lattice-ordered linear space with strong unit* (V, u) *over a totally ordered and Archimedean field* (K, e) *uniquely associated to* M.

Proof. By [7, Corollary 4.8], there exists an Archimedean ℓu-group (G, u) and a totally ordered and Archimedean ℓu-ring (R, e) such that $P \simeq \Gamma_{(\cdot)}(R, e)$ and $M \simeq \Gamma_{(R,e)}(G, u)$. By [6, Theorem 3.3], e is unit in R and by Proposition 3.1 it is a integral domain. By Lemma 4.2 the quotient field $K = \{\frac{a}{b} \mid a, b \in R \quad b \neq 0\}$ is Archimedean, totally ordered and unital.
By Theorem 2.3, $\Gamma(K \otimes_a G, e \otimes_a u) \simeq \Gamma(K, e) \otimes_{ss} \Gamma(G, u)$ and by Theorem 2.1, $\Gamma(K, e) \otimes_{ss} \Gamma(G, u)$ is a MV-module over $\Gamma(K, e)$, then by [7, Corollary 4.8] $K \otimes_a G$ is ℓ-module over K and since K is a field, $K \otimes_a G \in$ **LinSpArK**.
The uniqueness of $K \otimes_a G$ follows by construction. ☐

Proposition 4.4. *Let* M *be an object in* **MVArDomP**, *with* P *semisimple and totally ordered MV-domain. Let* (G, v) *be the* ℓu*-group such that* $M \simeq \Gamma(G, v)$, *let* (R,e) *be the integral domain such that* $P=\Gamma_{(\cdot)}(R,e)$ *and let* K *be the quotient field of* R*. For any object* (V,u) *in* **LinSpArK** *and any* $f: M\to \Gamma_{(K,e)}(V,u)$ *homomorphism of* P*-MV-modules there exists unique* $f^\sharp\colon K\otimes_a G\to V$ *morphism in* **LinSpArK** *such that* $\Gamma_{(K,e)}(f^\sharp)\circ\iota_M=f$*.*

Proof. By definition, $\Gamma_{(K,e)}(V,u)$ is a $\Gamma_{(\cdot)}(K,e)$-MV-module and since $P\subseteq \Gamma(K,e)$, f is well defined as homomorphisms of P-MV-modules.
By Theorem 2.2, there exists $f^*\colon \Gamma(K,e)\otimes_{ss} M\to\Gamma(V,u)$, homomorphism of $\Gamma(K,e)$-MV-modules. By Theorem 2.3, $\Gamma(K,e)\otimes_{ss} M\simeq\Gamma(K\otimes_a G, e\otimes_a v)$. Therefore by [7, Corollary 4.8], f^* extends in a unique way to $f^\sharp\colon K\otimes_a G\to V$, morphism in **LinSpArK**. We remark that by Theorem 2.2 $f^*\circ\iota_M=f$, where ι_M is the standard embedding of M in $\Gamma(K,e)\otimes_{ss} M$. ☐

Proposition 4.5. *Let* h *be a morphism between the two objects* M *and* N *in the category* **MVArDomP***, with* $P\simeq\Gamma_{(\cdot)}(R,e)$*,* $M\simeq\Gamma(G,v_G)$*,* $N\simeq\Gamma(H,v_H)$ *and let* K *be the quotient field of* R*. Then there exists a unique morphism* $h^\sharp\colon K\otimes_a G\to K\overline{\otimes}H$ *in* **LinSpArK** *such that* $\Gamma_{(K,e)}(h^\sharp)\circ\iota_M=\iota_N\circ h$*.*

Proof. Let ι_M and ι_N be the standard embeddings in the tensor products [10].By Theorem 2.3, $\Gamma(K,e)\otimes_{ss} M\simeq\Gamma(K\otimes_a G, e\otimes v_G)$ and $\Gamma(K,e)\otimes_{ss} N\simeq\Gamma(K\otimes_a H, e\otimes v_H)$. With abuse of notation, we will denote by ι_M and ι_N the composite maps from M and N in $\Gamma(K\otimes_a G, e\otimes v_G)$ and $\Gamma(K\otimes_a H, e\otimes v_H)$ rispectively. By Proposition 4.4 applied on $\iota_N\circ h$ and ι_M there exists a unique $h^*\colon \Gamma(K,e)\otimes M\to\Gamma(K\otimes_a H, e\otimes_a v_H)$, such that $h^*\circ\iota_M=\iota_N\circ h$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[d, "\iota_M"'] & N \arrow[d, "\iota_N"] \\
\Gamma(K,e)\otimes M \arrow[r, dashed, "h^*"] & \Gamma(K,e)\otimes N
\end{tikzcd}$$

Figure 1

Again by Theorem 2.3 and [7, Corollary 4.8] there exists a map $h^\sharp\colon K\otimes_a G\to K\otimes_a H$. The uniqueness of h^* gives us the desired conclusion. ☐

Let P be a semisimple and totally ordered MV-domain, let (R,e) be the ℓu-ring such that $P=\Gamma_{(\cdot)}(R,e)$, and let K be the quotient field R. We have two functors:

- $\Gamma_{(K,e)}$: **LinSpArK** → **MVArModP**, which is the functor from [7];
- $\mathcal{L}$: **MVArModP** → **LinSpArK** such that
  for any P-MV-module M, $\mathcal{L}(M)$ is the linear space $K\otimes_a G$ defined in Theorem 4.3,
  for any morphism h, $\mathcal{L}(h)$ is the map $h^\sharp$ defined in Proposition 4.5.

Lemma 4.6. $\mathcal{L}$ *is a functor.*

Proof. Let $h\colon A \to B$ and $g\colon B \to C$ be homomorphisms of P-MV-modules, with $A = \Gamma(G, u_G)$, $B = \Gamma(H, u_H)$, $C = \Gamma(L, u_L)$.
As in Proposition 4.5, there exists $h^*\colon \Gamma(K, e) \otimes A \to \Gamma(K \otimes_a H, e \otimes u_H)$, such that $h^* \circ \iota_A = \iota_B \circ h$, and there exists $g^*\colon \Gamma(K, e) \otimes B \to \Gamma(K \otimes_a L, e \otimes u_L)$, such that $g^* \circ \iota_B = \iota_C \circ g$. Then

$$(g^* \circ h^*) \circ \iota_A = g^* \circ (h^* \circ \iota_A) = g^* \circ (\iota_B \circ h) = (g^* \circ \iota_B) \circ h = \iota_C \circ (g \circ h).$$

Therefore, $(g \circ h)^* = g^* \circ h^*$. Since $\mathcal{L}(g \circ h)$ is the extension of $(g^* \circ h^*)$ by the inverse functor of $\Gamma_{(K,e)}$, $(g \circ h)^\sharp = g^\sharp \circ h^\sharp$. □

Proposition 4.7. *Let M be an element in* **MVArDomP**. *Then $(\iota_M)_{M \in \mathbf{MVArModP}}$, with $\iota_M\colon M \to \Gamma(K, e) \otimes M$, are a natural transformation between the identity functor on* **MVArDomP** *and the composite functor $\Gamma_{(K,e)}\mathcal{L}$.*

Proof. Let $N, L \in$ **MVArModP** and let $h\colon N \to L$ an homomorphism of P-MV-modules. We have to prove that $\Gamma_{(K,e)}\mathcal{L}(h) \circ \iota_N = \iota_L \circ h$. This is straightforward, since by definition $\mathcal{L}(h)$ is the extension on linear spaces of h^*, then $\Gamma_{(K,e)}\mathcal{L}(h) = h^*$ and the conclusion follows by Proposition 4.5. □

Theorem 4.8. *The pair $(\Gamma_{(K,e)}, \mathcal{L})$ is an adjoint pair.*

Proof. $\mathcal{L}$ is a left adjoint of $\Gamma_{(K,e)}$ if, for any element $M \in$ **MVArModP**, any $(V, u) \in$ **LinSpArK**, and any homomorphism of P-MV-module $h\colon M \to \Gamma(V, u)$ there exists a morphism in **LinSpArK** $h^\sharp\colon K \otimes_a G \to V$, where $M \simeq \Gamma(G, v)$, such that $\Gamma_{(K,e)}(h^\sharp) \circ \iota_M = h$. This is proved in Proposition 4.4. □

Remark 4.1. We remark that we cannot have an equivalence between the categories **MVArDomP** and **LinSpArK**. Indeed if $(R, u) = (\mathbb{Z}, 1)$, $P = \{0, 1\}$ and $M = L_3 \in$ **MVArModP** then $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{L}(M)) = ([0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}) \otimes L_3 \not\cong L_3$.

Lemma 4.9. *Let P be a totally ordered and semisimple MV-domain such that $P = \Gamma_{(\cdot)}(K, e)$, with K totally ordered and Archimedean field. Let M be an semisimple MV-module over P. If $\alpha x = 0$, then $\alpha = 0$ or $x = 0$.*

Proof. By [7, Corollary 4.8], there exists a semisimple ℓ-module with strong unit (V, u) over K such that $M = \Gamma_{(K,e)}(V, u)$. Since K is a field, (V, u) is actually a linear space. The result follows by the remark that the property holds in any linear space. □

Proposition 4.10. *Let P be a totally ordered and semisimple MV-domain such that $P = \Gamma_{(\cdot)}(K, e)$, with K totally ordered and Archimedean field. Let M be an Archimedean MV-module over P. Then the map*

$$\iota\colon P \to M, \quad \iota(a) = a1$$

is an embedding of MV-algebras.

Proof. By [7, Lemma 3.11(a)], $\iota(0) = 0$; by [7, Definition 3.1] if $a + b$ is defined, then $\iota(a + b) = (a + b)1 = a1 + b1 = \iota(a) + \iota(b)$ and ι is linear; by [7, Lemma 3.11(f)], $(a1)^* = a^*1$, then $\iota(a^*) = \iota(a)^*$. Moreover, $a \oplus b = (a \wedge b^*) + b$. Since P is totally ordered, and any linear map is isotone by [9, Proposition 3.9], it follows that $\iota(a \oplus b) = \iota(a) \oplus \iota(b)$. Finally, by Lemma 4.9, ι is injective. □

References

[1] Birkoff G., Lattice Theory, AMS Coll. Publ. 25, 3rd Ed., (1973).

[2] Blyth T.S., Lattices and Ordered Algebraic Structures, Springer (2005).

[3] Buskes G., Van Rooij A.C.M., The Archimedean ℓ-group tensor product, Order 10 93-102 (1993)

[4] Chang C.C., Algebraic analysis of many valued logics, Trans. AMS 88 467-490 (1958)

[5] Cignoli R., D'Ottaviano I.M.L., Mundici D., Algebraic foundation of many valued Reasoning, Kluwer Academic Publ. Dordrecht (2000).

[6] Di Nola A., Dvurečenskij A., Product MV-algebras, Multiple-Valued Logics 6 193-215 (2001)

[7] Di Nola A., Flondor P., Leustean I., MV-modules, Journal of Algebra 267(1) 21-40 (2003)

[8] Flondor P., Leuştean I., Tensor Product of MV-algebras, Soft Computing 7 446-457 (2003)

[9] Flondor P., Leuştean I., MV-algebras with operator (the commutative and non-commutative case), Discrete Mathematics 274 41-76 (2004)

[10] Lapenta S., Leuştean I., Scalar extensions for the algebraic structures of Łukasiewicz logic, under review. arXiv:1410.8298 [math.LO]

[11] Lapenta S., Leuştean I., Connecting the algebras of Łukasiewicz logic with product: an application of the MV-algebraic tensor product, submitted. arXiv:1411.4987 [math.LO]

[12] Montagna F., An algebraic approach to Propositional Fuzzy Logic, Journal of Logic, Language and Information 9 91-124 (2000)

[13] Montagna F., Subreducts of MV-algebras with product and product residuation, Algebra Universalis 53 109-137 (2005)

[14] Mundici D., Tensor products and the Loomis-Sikorski theorem for MV-algebras, Advanced in Applied Mathematics 22 227-248 (1999)

[15] Mundici D., Advances in Łukasiewicz calculus and MV-algebras, Trends in Logic 35 Springer (2011)

[16] Steinberg S.A., Lattice-Ordered Rings and Modules, Springer (2010)